

This article was downloaded by:

On: 25 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Separation Science and Technology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713708471>

Foreword

To cite this Article (2007) 'Foreword', Separation Science and Technology, 42: 11, 2361 — 2363

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01496390701569588

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01496390701569588>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Foreword

Membrane technology continues to emerge and adapt to meet the growing needs of industry. Interesting new areas include nanotube membranes (1), advanced modules (2), and mixed matrix gas separation membranes (3). The North American Membrane Society (NAMS) serves as a conduit for the newest membrane technology and applications, and has done so since its founding in 1985. This special issue entitled “New Frontiers in Membrane Science: New Research Presented at the North American Membrane Society 2006 Annual Meeting” is a sample of some of the new technology presented at NAMS 2006 in Chicago, IL. This issue details work in three distinct areas: ultrafiltration, high value separations dealing with food and pharmaceutical streams, and new advances in pervaporation and electrodialysis.

The use of ultrafiltration for fractionation of proteins and like components is an emerging field. Some of the most important work in this field came out of the Zydney (4, 5) and Pouliot (6) groups. Their work showed that protein charge and solution ionic strength complement size exclusion, resulting in higher protein fractionation selectivity. This work and other key contributions (7, 8) have led to a whole new field of study. In this issue, 4 papers probe protein and other smaller molecule separations using ultrafiltration and nanofiltration: Rao and Zydney, Grzenia et al., Cowan and Ritchie, and Chay Pak Ting et al. These studies, including protein fractionation, peptide fractionation, and virus purification, give the very latest in protein and peptide purification technology.

The second topic area covered in this issue is high value separations dealing with food and pharmaceuticals. Ultrafiltration membrane separations of food streams have been taking place in the Maubois group since the late 1970s (9, 10). Membrane uses in biotechnology have been around since at least the 1960s with advances in cellulose acetate dialysis membranes (11) and the extraordinary efforts of Alan Michaels (12, 13). In the past 30 years, several advancements have taken place including major work in cell recycle (14) and hemodialysis (15), just to name a few. There are three contributions from this subject area in this special issue including: Geens et al., Datta et al., and Nelson et al. These studies range from the purification of very high value pharmaceutical products to the treatment of wastewater from vegetable plants.

The final topic covered in this special issue is new advances in pervaporation and electrodialysis. These technologies have been around for some time and have demonstrated success in dehydration and deionization, respectively. Pervaporation has been explored for ethanol-water separation since at least the late 1950s (16). Modeling of this process was detailed in a fundamental paper published by Wijmans and Baker in 1995 (17). Although pervaporation has enjoyed success in Europe for azeotrope breaking of ethanol and water, new advances in materials, especially zeolites (18, 19), are opening up many new applications. The study by Ramprasad and Palmer in this issue uses microchannels, made in a commercial membrane, to improve ethanol/water separation. Some of the earliest advances in electrodialysis were for use in brackish water (20). Today, electrodialysis has found niches in tartaric acid stabilization of wine (21) and whey demineralization (22). Recent advances in bipolar membranes (23) and wafers for leak free electrodeionization (24) have allowed for new potential applications in food and pharmaceuticals. The work by Bazinet et al. explores using ultrafiltration membranes in electrodialysis stacks to open up new applications. The contribution by Arora et al. looks at using electrodeionization to make a separative bioreactor enabling fermentations in low or no media whatsoever.

Again, this issue only contains a brief sampling of some of the new and exciting areas in membrane research. However, we believe this work is some of the most cutting edge and we do hope you enjoy this issue of SS&T.

Sincerely

Guest Editors

Steve M.C. Ritchie, University of Alabama

Jamie A. Hestekin, University of Arkansas

REFERENCES

1. Baker, L.A., Choi, Y., and Martin, C.R. (2006) Nanopore membranes for biomaterials synthesis, biosensing and bioseparations. *Current Nanoscience*, 2 (3): 243–255.
2. Chung, K.Y. and Lee, M.S. (2005) Flux enhancement in a helical microfiltration module with gas injection. *Separation Science and Technology*, 40 (12): 2479–2495.
3. Moore, T.T. and Koros, W.J. (2007) Gas sorption in polymers, molecular sieves, and mixed matrix membranes. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 104 (6): 4053–4059.
4. Pujar, N.S. and Zydney, A.L. (1998) Electrostatic effects on protein partitioning in size-exclusion chromatography and membrane ultrafiltration. *Journal of Chromatography. A*, 796 (2): 229–38.
5. van Ries, R., Brake, J.M., Charkoudian, J., Burns, D.B., and Zydney, A.L. (1999) High-performance tangential flow filtration using charged membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 159 (1–2): 133–142.

6. Pouliot, M., Pouliot, Y., Britten, M., and Ross, N. (1994) Effects of pH and ionic environment on the permeability and rejective properties of an alumina microfiltration membrane for whey proteins. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 95 (2): 125–34.
7. Yousef, M.A., Datta, R., and Rodgers, V.G.J. (2002) Model of osmotic pressure for high concentrated binary protein solutions. *AIChE Journal*, 48 (4): 913–917.
8. Ghosh, R. and Cui, Z.F. (2000) Simulation study of the fractionation of proteins using ultrafiltration. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 180 (1): 29–36.
9. Brule, G., Fauquant, J., and Maubois, J.L. (1979) Preparation of “native” phosphocaseinate by combining membrane ultrafiltration and ultracentrifugation. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 62 (6): 869–75.
10. Maubois, J.L. (1980) Ultrafiltration of whey. *Journal of the Society of Dairy Technology*, 33 (2): 55–8.
11. Mason, N.S., Lindan, O., and Sparks, R.E. (1968) Reinforced cellulose acetate dialysis membranes. *Transactions-American Society for Artificial Internal Organs*, 14: 31–5.
12. Inloes, D.S., Smith, W.J., Taylor, D.P., Cohen, S.N., Michaels, A.S., and Robertson, C.R. (1983) Hollow-fiber membrane bioreactors using immobilized *E. coli* for protein synthesis. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 25 (11): 2653–81.
13. Michaels, A.S. (1984) Adapting modern biology to industrial practice. *Chemical Engineering Progress*, 80 (6): 19–25.
14. Xavier, A.M.R.B., Crespo, J.P.S.G., and Carrondo, M.J.T. (1997) Effect of cell purge on the stability of microfiltration cell recycle fermentation systems. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 69 (2): 203–208.
15. Rafat, M., De, D., Khulbe, K.C., Nguyen, T., and Matsuura, T. (2006) Surface characterization of hollow fiber membranes used in artificial kidney. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 101 (6): 4386–4400.
16. Heisler, E.G., Hunter, A.S., Sicillano, J., and Treadway, R.H. (1956) Solute and temperature effects in the pervaporation of aqueous alcoholic solutions. *Science*, 124: 77–8.
17. Wijmans, J.G. and Baker, R.W. (1995) The solution-diffusion model: a review. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 107 (1–2): 1–21.
18. Li, S., Tuan, V.A., Falconer, J.L., and Noble, R.D. (2002) X-type zeolite membranes: preparation, characterization, and pervaporation performance. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 53 (1–3): 59–70.
19. Sano, T. (1998) Preparation of zeolite membranes and their pervaporation performance. *Recent Research Developments in Pure & Applied Chemistry*, 2: 25–36.
20. Partridge, S.M. and Peers, A.M. (1958) Electrodialysis using ion-exchange membranes. I. Factors limiting the degree of desalting. *Journal of Applied Chemistry*, 8: 49–59.
21. Ochoa, J.R., Valle, B., Hilera, R., Belaustegi, Y., and Elejade, E. (1999) Red wine stabilization by eletrodialysis technique. *Alimentacion, Equipos y Tecnologia*, 18 (2): 65–69.
22. Bleha, M., Tishchenko, G., Sumberova, V., and Kudela, V. (1992) Characteristic of the critical state of membranes in ED-desalination of milk whey. *Desalination*, 86 (2): 173–86.
23. Kim, Y.H. and Moon, S.H. (2001) Lactic acid recovery from fermentation broth using one-stage electrodialysis. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 76 (2): 169–178.
24. Datta, R., Lin, Y., Burke, D., and Tsai, S.P.. Electrodeionization substrate, and device for electrodeionization treatment. US Patent#6,495,014, 2002.